International Journal of Maritime and Interdisciplinary Research
©2025 IJMIR/Volume 8(1) July-December | ISSN: 2734-3286.
Journal homepage: www.ijmir.edu.ng

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PRODUCTIVITY EFFICIENCY IN NIGERIAN DOMESTIC AIRLINES

[1] IKEOGU VIVIAN NGOZI, [2]EJEM AGWU EJEM,[3]OKEUDO GERALDINE NKECHI, [4]ASIEGBU BALDWIN,[5]ANYANWU OKECHUKWU JULIUS,[6]ERUMAKA PRECIOUSFAITH

[1,2.3.4.6]Department of Logistics and Transport Technology, School of Logistics and Innovation Technology, Federal University of Technology Owerri, Imo State, and

[5]Nigeria Maritime University, Okerenkoko, Delta State

Corresponding Author* viviroseitu234@gmail.com

Abstract

The Nigerian domestic airline industry is crucial for national connectivity and economic growth, but ongoing inefficiencies hinder its competitiveness in African and global markets. This study investigates the weaknesses, opportunities, and key drivers of productivity efficiency in Nigerian domestic airlines, using a qualitative comparative analysis of secondary data. Sources include industry reports, peer-reviewed research, and official performance statistics. Results show operational issues such as aging fleets, insufficient infrastructure, regulatory hurdles, and financial limitations, as well as opportunities in adopting new technology, investing in infrastructure, developing human capital, forming strategic alliances, and diversifying markets. A comparison with South Africa, Kenya, and Ethiopia emphasizes Nigeria's shortcomings in fleet utilization, cost efficiency, and punctuality. The study concludes that implementing targeted regulatory reforms, modernizing fleets, integrating advanced technology, and improving workforce training are vital for sustainable progress. Recommendations are provided to help Nigerian domestic airlines adopt international best practices.

Keywords: Nigerian domestic airlines; productivity efficiency; operational performance; comparative analysis; fleet utilization; aviation policy; Africa

1. Introduction

The domestic airline sector in Nigeria plays a vital role in connecting the country's major cities, supporting commerce, tourism, and socio-economic integration. It enables the mobility of business professionals, tourists, and high-value goods, thereby influencing trade and investment flows. However, despite its importance, Nigeria's domestic airline industry remains underperforming compared to African peers in South Africa, Ethiopia, and Kenya (Wanke & Barros, 2016). Persistent challenges include high operating costs, infrastructural inadequacies, limited fleet modernization, and inconsistent regulatory enforcement (Adegbesan & Onakoya, 2020; Nwaogbe et al., 2013).

Productivity efficiency — the capacity to optimize resource use for maximum output — is a critical performance indicator for airlines (Coelli et al., 2005). Efficient airlines operate with higher fleet utilization, shorter turnaround times, and optimized crew scheduling. However, Nigerian domestic airlines face operational inefficiencies due to outdated fleets, fuel supply volatility, fragmented regulatory oversight, and limited adoption of technological innovations (Oluwakoya & Olufemi, 2011).

This study examines the **weaknesses, opportunities, and key drivers** affecting productivity efficiency in Nigerian domestic airlines, using comparative African benchmarks. It aims to generate evidence-based recommendations for improving operational performance and aligning with global best practices.

2. Literature Review

Concept of Productivity Efficiency in the Airline Industry

Productivity efficiency in the airline sector reflects an operator's ability to maximize output from available resources while minimizing costs (Coelli, Rao, O'Donnell, & Battese, 2005). In aviation, this involves optimal fleet utilization, reduced turnaround times, efficient crew scheduling, and cost-effective maintenance practices. Airlines with higher productivity efficiency enjoy competitive advantages through lower unit costs, better punctuality, and stronger customer loyalty (Oum, Fu, & Yu, 2005).

Measurement techniques such as **Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA)** and **Stochastic Frontier Analysis (SFA)** are widely used to assess efficiency across airlines and regions. DEA identifies relative efficiency by comparing operators with the "best practice" frontier, while SFA incorporates random noise and inefficiency effects (Färe, Grosskopf, & Lovell, 1994). These methods have been applied to evaluate airlines in both developed and developing economies, with consistent findings that technological modernization, regulatory reforms, and economies of scale significantly enhance efficiency (Barros & Wanke, 2015).

Nigerian Domestic Airlines: Current State and Challenges

Nigerian domestic airlines face persistent inefficiencies linked to infrastructural constraints, outdated fleets, and operational fragmentation (Nwaogbe, Wokili, Omoke, & Asiegbu, 2013). Fuel accounts for up to 40% of operational costs, compounded by currency exchange volatility (Adegbesan & Onakoya, 2020). Maintenance schedules are often reactive rather than predictive, leading to frequent unplanned aircraft groundings. Airport infrastructure is underdeveloped, with inadequate navigational aids, limited night operations capacity, and congested terminal facilities (Edeh & Obeta, 2018).

Regulatory oversight, though improving, still suffers from delays in policy implementation and insufficient enforcement of operational standards. These factors jointly reduce competitiveness and hinder integration into regional and global networks (Oluwakoya & Olufemi, 2011).

Comparative African Context

In contrast to Nigeria's fragmented operational systems, several African carriers have demonstrated high productivity efficiency through strategic reforms:

- **Ethiopian Airlines** maintains one of the youngest fleets in Africa, averaging 6–8 years, and employs predictive maintenance technologies, boosting fleet utilization to 11–12 hours per day (AFRAA, 2022). Its hub-and-spoke model, cantered in Addis Ababa, maximizes aircraft rotations and load factors.
- **South African Airways** historically maintained high operational efficiency through rigorous crew training, advanced maintenance facilities, and strategic alliances such as Star Alliance membership (Wanke & Barros, 2016).

- **Kenya Airways** leverages SkyTeam membership for expanded route networks and shared resources, contributing to improved load factors and reduced per-passenger operational costs (IATA, 2022).

These examples highlight the role of modern fleets, technology adoption, and international partnerships in driving operational efficiency.

Key Drivers of Productivity Efficiency

1. **Fleet Modernization:** Newer aircraft offer fuel efficiency, reduced maintenance costs, and improved reliability. Studies show that each 1% improvement in fleet fuel efficiency can translate into millions of dollars in annual savings for medium-sized carriers (Oguntolu, Ayeni, & Alabi, 2021).
2. **Technological Integration:** Digital operational control centers, predictive analytics, and integrated scheduling platforms improve coordination between maintenance, flight operations, and ground handling (Tiernan, Rhoades, & Waguespack, 2008).
3. **Human Capital Development:** Well-trained personnel in operations, maintenance, and customer service enable proactive problem-solving and efficiency improvements (Stephens & Ukpere, 2011).
4. **Regulatory Efficiency:** Streamlined approval processes, consistent enforcement of operational standards, and policies that encourage competition contribute to higher efficiency levels (ICAO, 2022).
5. **Strategic Partnerships:** Alliances facilitate code-sharing, joint maintenance agreements, and coordinated schedules, reducing unit costs and expanding market reach (Barros & Wanke, 2015).

While several studies have examined Nigerian aviation challenges, there is limited empirical work directly comparing Nigerian domestic airline productivity with other African carriers using standardized performance metrics. Furthermore, few studies integrate operational, regulatory, and technological factors into a single analytical framework for Nigeria. This study

addresses these gaps by providing a **comparative African benchmark** and identifying actionable drivers for performance improvement in the Nigerian context.

3. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative comparative research design, relying exclusively on secondary data. The design is suitable for assessing airline performance in contexts where direct operational data from domestic carriers is limited. Data sources included:

Peer-reviewed literature: Covering airline productivity efficiency, regulatory challenges, and African aviation benchmarks.

Industry reports: From IATA, NCAA, ICAO, and AFRAA, which provided performance metrics such as fleet utilization, on-time performance, and cost efficiency.

Comparative African case analysis: Focused on three African carriers—Ethiopian Airlines, South African Airways, and Kenya Airways. These airlines were selected because they represent the most relevant regional benchmarks in terms of operational scale, geographic context, and data availability.

A comparative performance table was constructed using indicators such as fleet utilization (hours/day), on-time performance, fleet age, fuel efficiency, digital integration, and regulatory efficiency. These metrics allowed the identification of Nigeria's relative weaknesses and opportunities.

The selected cases—Ethiopian Airlines, South African Airways, and Kenya Airways—were chosen based on:

- Their prominence within Africa's aviation industry.
- Availability of performance data through AFRAA, IATA, and NCAA reports.
- Relevance as benchmarks for Nigerian domestic airlines facing similar operational and infrastructural contexts.

Global carriers such as Singapore Airlines, Emirates, and Ryanair were excluded from the comparative analysis, as their data were not integrated into the results section.

4. Results

This section presents a comparative analysis of productivity efficiency between Nigerian domestic airlines and three benchmark African carriers: Ethiopian Airlines, South African Airways, and Kenya Airways. Data were sourced from AFRAA, IATA, ICAO, and NCAA reports, complemented by peer-reviewed literature. Indicators include fleet utilization, on-time performance, fleet age, maintenance approach, fuel efficiency, digital integration, regulatory efficiency, and alliance participation.

Table 1. Comparative Performance of Selected African Airlines

Indicator	Nigerian Domestic Airlines	Ethiopian Airlines	South African Airways	Kenya Airways
Average Fleet Utilization (hours/day)	7.5	11.5	10.5	9.5
On-Time Performance (%)	62	85	80	76
Average Fleet Age (years)	17.5	7	10	11
Maintenance Approach	Predominantly reactive	Predictive + preventive	Predictive + preventive	Preventive with limited predictive
Fuel Efficiency (L/ASK)	0.036	0.030	0.031	0.032
Digital Operational Integration	Low	High	High	Medium
Regulatory Efficiency	Moderate with delays	High	High	Medium
Strategic Alliances	Limited	Star Alliance	Star Alliance	SkyTeam

Sources: NCAA (2023), AFRAA (2022), IATA (2022), Adegbesan & Onakoya (2020), Oguntolu et al. (2021).

The results reveal that Nigerian domestic airlines underperform across most operational indicators, especially in fleet utilization, on-time performance, and technological integration. Ethiopian Airlines sets the benchmark with the youngest fleet, the highest utilization, and advanced predictive maintenance systems.

5. Discussion

The comparative analysis highlights significant operational inefficiencies among Nigerian domestic airlines when measured against their African peers. The most pronounced gaps are in **fleet utilization** (7.5 hours/day compared to Ethiopia's 11.5), **on-time performance** (62% compared to Ethiopia's 85%), and **digital integration**, where Nigerian carriers remain largely analog and siloed.

These weaknesses align with the earlier-identified systemic issues: aging fleets, poor infrastructure, reactive maintenance, and regulatory delays. Conversely, Ethiopian Airlines demonstrates how a younger fleet, predictive maintenance, and participation in global alliances can generate superior efficiency outcomes.

Opportunities for Nigerian domestic airlines lie in:

Fleet modernization: Introducing newer, fuel-efficient aircraft could reduce operational costs and improve reliability.

Technological adoption: Investment in predictive maintenance, real-time operational control, and passenger service platforms would drive efficiency.

Regulatory reforms: Streamlined approval processes and performance-based oversight could improve responsiveness.

Strategic alliances: Partnerships with African and global carriers could expand route networks and share resources.

The discussion reinforces that Nigerian airlines must transition from reactive, fragmented operations toward a more systematized, technology-driven model. Without these reforms, the efficiency gap with peers will continue to widen.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

This study assessed the productivity efficiency of Nigerian domestic airlines relative to Ethiopian Airlines, South African Airways, and Kenya Airways. Findings show Nigerian carriers lag in key operational metrics, particularly fleet utilization, on-time performance, and digital integration. These deficiencies are driven by outdated fleets, high maintenance costs, weak regulatory efficiency, and limited alliance participation.

To address these challenges, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Fleet Modernization: Introduce financing mechanisms and tax incentives to support the acquisition of new aircraft.

2. Predictive Maintenance Systems: Adopt data-driven maintenance planning to improve reliability and reduce costs.

3. Regulatory and Policy Reforms: Streamline approval processes, strengthen enforcement, and adopt performance-based oversight.

4. Digital Transformation: Invest in integrated operational control systems and customer-facing digital platforms.

5. Human Capital Development: To enhance training in systems thinking, data-driven decision-making, and efficiency optimization.

6. Infrastructure Development: Upgrade airports, navigational aids, and ground handling to reduce delays.

7. Strategic Partnerships: Encourage membership in alliances and explore joint ventures for fleet renewal and maintenance hubs.

By adopting these measures, Nigerian domestic airlines can close the efficiency gap with African benchmarks, enhance competitiveness, and contribute more meaningfully to national and regional economic growth.

References

Adegbesan, J. A., & Onakoya, A. B. (2020). Operational efficiency and competitiveness of the Nigerian aviation sector. *African Journal of Business and Economic Research, 15*(3), 77–94. https://doi.org/10.31920/1750-4562

African Airlines Association. (2022). *Annual African airline performance report 2022*. AFRAA. https://www.afraa.org

Barros, C. P., & Wanke, P. (2015). Efficiency in African airlines: A two-stage analysis. *Journal of Air Transport Management, 47,* 90–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2015.05.001

Coelli, T., Rao, D. S. P., O'Donnell, C. J., & Battese, G. E. (2005). *An introduction to efficiency and productivity analysis* (2nd ed.). Springer.

Edeh, H. C., & Obeta, M. C. (2018). Challenges and prospects of air transport development in Nigeria. *International Journal of Development and Sustainability, 7*(1), 197–208.

Färe, R., Grosskopf, S., & Lovell, C. A. K. (1994). *Production frontiers*. Cambridge University Press.

International Air Transport Association. (2022). *Airline industry statistics*. IATA. https://www.iata.org

International Civil Aviation Organization. (2022). *ICAO annual safety report*. ICAO. https://www.icao.int

Nigerian Civil Aviation Authority. (2023). *Annual industry performance report*. NCAA. https://ncaa.gov.ng

Nwaogbe, O. R., Wokili, H., Omoke, V., & Asiegbu, B. (2013). An analysis of the challenges faced by air transport sector in Nigeria. *Journal of Business and Management, 14*(3), 60–68.

Oguntolu, O., Ayeni, A., & Alabi, T. (2021). Fleet modernization and operational efficiency in African airlines. *Transportation Research Procedia, 58,* 237–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2021.07.028

Oluwakoya, A., & Olufemi, A. (2011). Aviation development and economic growth in Nigeria. *Journal of Research in National Development, 9*(2), 1–8.

Oum, T. H., Fu, X., & Yu, C. (2005). New evidence on airline efficiency and yields: A comparative analysis of major North American carriers. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 41*(1), 91–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2004.03.001

Stephens, M. S., & Ukpere, W. I. (2011). Regulatory framework for aviation in Nigeria: An analysis. *African Journal of Business Management, 5*(26), 10815–10824.

Tiernan, S., Rhoades, D., & Waguespack, B. (2008). Airline service quality: Exploratory analysis of consumer perceptions and operational performance in the USA and EU. *Managing Service Quality, 18*(3), 212–224. https://doi.org/10.1108/09604520810871874

Wanke, P., & Barros, C. P. (2016). Efficiency in African airlines: A two-stage analysis incorporating co-variables. *Journal of Air Transport Management, 47,* 90–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2015.05.001